



ANNUAL ACTIVITY REPORT TO THE COMPETITION AND CONSUMER PROTECTION COMMISSION (CCPC) FOR 2025

Pursuant to the European Union (Alternative Dispute
Resolution for Consumer Disputes) Regulations 2015

NetNeutrals EU

7th July 2026

Abstract

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION.....	2
2	COMPLAINTS DEALT WITH - MEMBERSHIP.....	2
3	STATISTICS	2
4	AVERAGE LENGTH OF ADR PROCEDURE	3
5	ADR PROCEDURES WHICH WERE DISCONTINUED FOR OPERATIONAL REASONS.....	3
6	COMPLIANCE WITH ADR OUTCOME PERCENTAGES	3
7	CO-OPERATION WITH OTHER ADR ENTITIES IN RELATION TO CROSS-BORDER DISPUTES	3
8	ANNUAL ACTIVITY REPORT - PART 1	4
8.1	COMPLAINT STATISTICS	4
9	ANNUAL ACTIVITY REPORT - PART 2	6
9.1	PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED	6
9.2	REASONS FOR REFUSAL TO ACCEPT COMPLAINTS AND STATISTICS.....	8

1 Introduction

- 1.1 On 17th December 2015, NetNeutrals EU Ltd ("**NetNeutrals**") was approved by the Competition and Consumer Protection Commission ("**CCPC**"), pursuant to the European Union (Alternative Dispute Resolution for Consumer Disputes) Regulations 2015, as an approved provider of alternative dispute resolution services ("**ADR**").
- 1.2 NetNeutrals provides ADR in respect of unresolved disputes between consumers and suppliers in the following sectors:
 - Consumer Goods
 - Financial Services
 - General Consumer Services
 - Leisure Services
 - Postal services and electronic communications
 - Transport services
 - Travel and Timeshare
 - Landlord / Tenant
 - Domain Names

2 Complaints dealt with - Membership

- 2.1 NetNeutrals deals with complaints in relation to member traders who have signed up with NetNeutrals EU and non-members.
- 2.2 In relation to complaints received about non-members, NetNeutrals deals with such complaints where the non-member trader agrees to engage with NetNeutrals and abide by its scheme rules in relation to the particular complaint.

3 Statistics

- 3.1 Section 8.1 COMPLAINT STATISTICS contains raw data in relation to domestic and cross-border complaints.
- 3.2 NetNeutrals has specifically recorded complaints relating to:
 - 3.2.1 *Complaint types:*
 - Item / Service Not Received - this includes where the retailer states goods have been delivered and the consumer claims they haven't
 - Item / Service Not as Described
 - Late Delivery
 - Cancelled Transaction but payment taken
 - Incorrect Amount Charged including being Charged Twice
 - Fraudulent Transaction - this includes where breach of contract is claimed
 - Settlement agreed but Not Fulfilled
 - Other- this includes 'not fit for purpose' complaints, returns complaints where the complainant 'changed their mind' and complaints relating to 'pricing'.

3.2.2 *Goods/services categories:*

- Consumer Goods including Clothing, Electrical Goods etc.
- Computers and Communication including mobile phones, Laptops, tablets etc.
- Timeshare
- Transport services including car rental and aviation
- Construction – which includes complaints relating to DIY, Kitchen / Bathroom upgrades, extensions etc.
- Financial Services including Escrow payments.
- Domain Name disputes

4 Average length of ADR procedure

- 4.1 The average length of NetNeutrals ADR process has been 45 days (from the date, the complaint was received), during the period applicable to this report.

5 ADR procedures which were discontinued for operational reasons

- 5.1 NetNeutrals has no data to report here.

6 Compliance with ADR outcome percentages

Please tell us the percentage rate of compliance, if known, with the outcomes of the alternative dispute resolution procedure. (Please express compliance as a percentage relative to the number ADR procedures where an outcome has been achieved).

- 6.1 From the information provided (calculating on a pro-rata basis):
- Member compliance – 100%
 - Non-member compliance – 0%
 - Complainant compliance (where they accepted determination) – 100%

7 Co-operation with other ADR entities in relation to cross-border disputes

Please tell us

- *how you have co-operated, if at all, with any network of ADR entities which facilitates the resolution of cross-border disputes.*
- *how you have co-operated with a network of ADR entities for cross border disputes.*

- 7.1 NetNeutrals has been contacted by a number of members of the European Consumer Network to assist them in resolving disputes.
- 7.2 NetNeutrals has received queries from ADR providers in Germany and Greece to assist them in contacting suppliers or asking would we take on the case.
- 7.3 NetNeutrals continues to explore opportunities to co-operate with other ADR entities in relation to cross-border disputes. NetNeutrals has been a member of Travel_Net a cooperative of European ADR providers in the Travel Sector. NetNeutrals is invited to all Travel_Net meetings and receives minutes of all meetings.

8 ANNUAL ACTIVITY REPORT - PART 1

8.1 COMPLAINT STATISTICS

Please tell us the total number of 'domestic' and 'cross-border' disputes you have received in the last year as well as the types of complaints to which the domestic disputes and cross-border disputes relate (Provide us with data using whatever categorisation system you use for 'types' of dispute. For example, you may have major and sub categories in relation to the 'types' of goods and services in dispute, such as; Electrical goods (Major), with televisions, laptops etc.

Dispute type	Number of complaints (Domestic)			Number of complaints (Cross-border)			Totals
	Accepted	Rejected	Received	Accepted	Rejected	Received	
Consumer Goods							
Item/Service Not as Described					3	3	3
Clothing and footwear					1	1	1
Information and communication technology (ICT) goods		2	2		2	2	4
Small domestic household appliances		1	1				1
General Consumer Services							
House maintenance and improvement services		1	1				1
Leisure Services							
Hotels and other holiday accommodation		1	1		2	2	3
Services related to sports and hobbies		1	1				1
Cultural and entertainment services		1	1				1
Postal services and electronic communications							
Internet Services (DSA)		5	5		5	5	10
Other communication services		1	1				1

NETNEUTRALS EU - ANNUAL ACTIVITY REPORT TO CCPC FOR 2025

Dispute type	Number of complaints (Domestic)			Number of complaints (Cross-border)			Totals
	Accepted	Rejected	Received	Accepted	Rejected	Received	
Transport services							
Aviation		35	35		56	56	91
Car Rental		1	1		1	1	2
Sea, river, other water transport					2	2	2
Domain Name							
Abusive Registration	5		5	3		3	8
Grand Total	5	49	54	3	72	75	129

9 ANNUAL ACTIVITY REPORT - PART 2

9.1 PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

Please tell us about any systematic or significant problems that occur frequently and lead to disputes between consumers and traders of which you have become aware due to your operations. In addition, tell us about any recommendations you may have as to how these problems could be avoided or resolved in future. (Your recommendation should be based on the objective of raising traders' standards and to facilitate the exchange of information and best practices).

SYSTEMATIC OR SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS THAT OCCUR FREQUENTLY	HOW CAN THESE PROBLEMS BE AVOIDED OR RESOLVED IN FUTURE?
1. EU ODR platform was officially discontinued as of 20 July 2025.	New complaints were no longer accepted from 20 March 2025. Consumers are still trying to find who will look after their complaint.
2. Consumers need a simple roadmap on how to pursue a complaint and where to look for information.	Consumers are contacting ADR entities to ascertain who they should contact in relation to a complaint. Consumers seeking dispute resolution can now consult the Consumer Redress in the EU portal, which provides a list of ADR entities in EU member states, Norway, and Iceland, along with their contact information.
3. Retailers/traders failing to engage with ADR, this leads to time spent contacting traders who have no intention of engaging.	It is still very difficult to get traders to engage in Online ODR, and they want the service for free.
4. Consumers need a simple roadmap on how to pursue a complaint and where to look for information.	The Consumer Redress in the EU portal is not easily found. As there are so many EU headquarters based in Ireland the consumer believes that the complaint must be handled there. An advertising campaign would help.
5. Role of regulators, Citizen / Consumer advice agencies and dispute handling bodies	Role of other agencies in handling disputes needs to be clarified, are they advisory, dispute handling and do they handle cross border disputes in multiple languages.

SYSTEMATIC OR SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS THAT OCCUR FREQUENTLY	HOW CAN THESE PROBLEMS BE AVOIDED OR RESOLVED IN FUTURE?
6. No complaints coming through the EU ODR Platform	NetNeutrals is receiving very little complaints via the EU ODR Platform. This could be due to: 1. lack of awareness on the consumer 2. lack of engagement by Traders 3. Complaints being handled elsewhere The effectiveness of the Complaints landscape needs to be examined to understand how consumer protection is working EU ODR platform was officially discontinued as of 20 July 2025.
7. Receiving Aviation Disputes	NetNeutrals continues to receive Aviation disputes, primarily due to Ryanair being registered in Ireland. We inform the complainant what ODR provider they should contact NetNeutrals is capable of handling aviation disputes but do not have a contract with the airlines / airports to handle same. All aviation disputes in the EU are handled by the appropriate NEB but the passenger is unaware if this. Airlines should highlight where to find the appropriate ADR provider for a given flight.
8. Receiving DSA disputes	NetNeutrals is receiving disputes from consumers where their social media account has been frozen or suspended without a proper explanation of the rules they have broken. For disputes related to online platforms under the Digital Services Act, consumers have difficulty in finding the appropriate certified out-of-court dispute settlement bodies. The Consumer Redress in the EU portal is not easily found. NetNeutrals has pointed the complainant to the appropriate certified out-of-court dispute settlement bodies An advertising campaign would help.

9.2 REASONS FOR REFUSAL TO ACCEPT COMPLAINTS AND STATISTICS

Please tell us the total number of disputes which you have refused to deal with. In addition, a breakdown of the percentage share of each of the permitted grounds on which you have declined to consider such disputes (if any).

Grounds for dispute refused.	Total number of disputes refused to deal with.	Percentage of total.
(a) failure to contact trader first		
(b) Complaint frivolous / vexatious		
(c) Dispute previously considered by another ADR provider or court		
(d) Value of claim falls below threshold		
(e) Complainant has submitted complaint outside specified time period		
(f) Dealing with the dispute would seriously impair the effective operation of NetNeutrals		
(g) Trader non-member and refused to engage	121	93.80%
(h) Fees not paid		
TOTAL	121	93.80%